

Draft Downtown Community Plan

The Draft Downtown Community Plan is available for review. What are your thoughts?

All On Forum Responses sorted chronologically

As of August 21, 2014, 7:39 AM



As with any public comment process, participation in Open City Hall is voluntary. The responses in this record are not necessarily representative of the whole population, nor do they reflect the opinions of any government agency or elected officials.

Draft Downtown Community Plan

The Draft Downtown Community Plan is available for review. What are your thoughts?

Introduction

The Salt Lake City Planning Commission is reviewing a draft of the Downtown Community Master Plan. The plan includes the community's vision of what we want Downtown to become in the future, how we will get there, and a way to measure our success.

Draft Downtown Community Plan

The Draft Downtown Community Plan is available for review. What are your thoughts?

As of August 21, 2014, 7:39 AM, this forum had:

Attendees:	71
On Forum Responses:	1
All Responses:	2
Minutes of Public Comment:	6

Draft Downtown Community Plan

The Draft Downtown Community Plan is available for review. What are your thoughts?

Responses

Is there anything missing from the plan? If so, what?

Answered 2

Skipped -1

-- access area non-lds worship

Are the goals of the plan realistic?

No Response

Are the "key moves" the right moves to achieve the vision?

Answered 1

Skipped 0

each move

Did we get the catalytic projects right?

No Response

Do you support the Downtown Plan?

Answered 1

Skipped 0

Other Comments

Answered 1

Skipped 0

-- aging downtown population

Name not shown inside Council District 6

August 1, 2014, 1:05 PM

Draft Downtown Community Plan

The Draft Downtown Community Plan is available for review. What are your thoughts?

Is there anything missing from the plan? If so, what?

You have not addressed non-LDS worship space, and access thereto on Sundays -- many of the non-LDS worship spaces draw from a wide area -- or are available only outside the area -- and a non-auto centric plan significantly hampers access

Are the goals of the plan realistic?

No Response

Are the "key moves" the right moves to achieve the vision?

I suggest you provide "pros" AND "cons" for each key move. There are not only upsides, but downsides of each move, and they need to be fully explored and articulated.

Did we get the catalytic projects right?

No Response

Do you support the Downtown Plan?

Its focus is too "all good" without assessment of unintended consequences for me to register support.

Other Comments

There is not enough emphasis on educational facilities in the downtown area. The downtown currently is extremely unattractive for my spouse and me -- we must drive because at 70 and 80, making a mile walk uphill from the bus stop at 10:00 at night is not realistic, and parking is highly unwelcoming. And while Utahns are used to a young population, it will be aging, and your older folks will be the fastest growing segment proportionally. It seems to me that the plan is focused on a population demographic which mirrors the current -- rather than anticipating the myriad changes that an aging population will bring.

Midblock Walkways Project

The Midblock Walkways Project addresses walkability in the Downtown by establishing policies, objectives and guidelines. What are your opinions on the draft policies, objectives and guidelines?

All On Forum Statements sorted chronologically

As of August 15, 2014, 10:08 AM



As with any public comment process, participation in Open City Hall is voluntary. The statements in this record are not necessarily representative of the whole population, nor do they reflect the opinions of any government agency or elected officials.

Midblock Walkways Project

The Midblock Walkways Project addresses walkability in the Downtown by establishing policies, objectives and guidelines. What are your opinions on the draft policies, objectives and guidelines?

Introduction

The Midblock Walkways Project is a joint effort between the Planning Division, Transportation Division, and the RDA to address walkability in the Downtown. The Design Guidelines address both private easements and public rights-of-way.

The Guidelines are intended to direct design and development of mid-block walkways in concert with public or private development projects. The Guidelines direct development to prioritize pedestrians and create a pedestrian-oriented experience along mid-block streets and walkways through recognition and respect various factors, including walkway widths, building heights and setbacks, materials, vegetation, and public art. The Guidelines also suggest short-term interventions or solutions for improving existing mid-block walkways.

Midblock Walkways Project

The Midblock Walkways Project addresses walkability in the Downtown by establishing policies, objectives and guidelines. What are your opinions on the draft policies, objectives and guidelines?

As of August 15, 2014, 10:08 AM, this forum had:

Attendees:	462
On Forum Statements:	31
All Statements:	38
Hours of Public Comment:	1.9

Midblock Walkways Project

The Midblock Walkways Project addresses walkability in the Downtown by establishing policies, objectives and guidelines. What are your opinions on the draft policies, objectives and guidelines?

Name not shown inside Council District 4

April 4, 2014, 6:54 PM

Please bring mid block walkways beyond the downtown core to include Central City and East Central as these areas have the highest number of business establishments blended into the neighborhoods.

Name not shown inside Council District 2

March 14, 2014, 9:38 AM

although we live fairly close to downtown we spend a little time walking around. I think the area and the Gallivan Center is and encouragement. creating a last car oriented city is a positive move.

1 Supporter

Name not shown inside Council District 3

March 13, 2014, 10:50 AM

I think the outline for this is great. I live and work downtown, and I'd love a few cut-throughs to make my commute shorter. I end up walking on Main Street for most of my walk, despite it not being the most convenient because it feels like it's at a smaller scale - especially the area south of City Creek. I walk down Edison Street (and pre-construction Regent Street) all the time, and if there was more activity it would be awesome. They're great shortcuts, but can be kind of depressing when you realize they are comprised of backs of buildings or closed storefronts.

If you could please update the maps within the draft document, that'd be great.

1 Supporter

Name not shown inside Council District 5

March 12, 2014, 2:20 PM

SLC has basically one mid block access route, and that is the one you posted in your picture. All of the others are fluff. Unless you have a destination this is a road to no where.

Sabrina Scott inside Council District 5

February 11, 2014, 6:59 PM

Just walking downtown this afternoon thinking how nice it would have been to use a mid-block walkway rather than being forced "out" to walk along with automobile traffic.
Great idea.

1 Supporter

Name not shown inside Council District 3

February 11, 2014, 4:00 PM

Oh boy - more warm and fuzzy..... ! Maybe if a person were already downtown, they might want to avail

Midblock Walkways Project

The Midblock Walkways Project addresses walkability in the Downtown by establishing policies, objectives and guidelines. What are your opinions on the draft policies, objectives and guidelines?

themselves of the ambiance however, I don't think it'd be a 'draw' as a 'destination' for some living outside of the downtown area.

By the way - what do the cops have to say about safety issues and what do the fire laddies think of this proposed setup? I'm not seeing any input from those two critical departments.

1 Supporter

Eric Hamren outside Salt Lake City Council Districts

January 26, 2014, 2:10 PM

My only request is that any art that is done on this project has public input OR simply use local artists. There is quite a bit of art downtown but all of it just seems to be pieces of metal on a stick. Art that allows for a little more interaction from the pedestrians would be great. As if saying "don't just look at me but play with me" walk through me or something besides walk past it and not notice it. Or just make something so big that people have to take notice haha

1 Supporter

Norine and Doug Halbe inside Council District 6

January 25, 2014, 2:57 PM

We are downtown reasonably often: dinner, symphony, shopping, things at Rose Wagner, and similar; and very much like the ideas expressed in the Midways Block Walkways project. This would, we think, continue to enhance the livability of downtown and encourage more people to spend more time downtown.

We lived for a number of years in Australia; and Perth and Melbourne, as well as other large cities, made excellent use of this sort of thing. Melbourne has a number of small or even tiny intermediate streets, many filled with funky shops. When we have been there these small streets seem to have always had lots of shoppers.

Perth has few, if any, such streets, but does have some underground walkways that are also filled with small (and some larger) shops. Most, but not all of these, are in conjunction with the underground rail system. Perth also has made a few of the streets in the Central Business District into pedestrian (no vehicle) malls. These streets are wide and fronted by larger stores, as are those on Salt Lake City's Main Street.

Certainly the climate in Perth and Melbourne is much more conducive than here to being outside year round, but it's our recollection that there are crowds of people in both cities both day and night. Certainly in Perth the Hay Street mall is filled with people from the start of shopping hours until late at night, when people are out for movies, restaurant, coffee, ice cream and the like.

Norine and Doug Halbe

2 Supporters

Mike Christensen outside Salt Lake City Council Districts

January 24, 2014, 12:09 AM

Midblock Walkways Project

The Midblock Walkways Project addresses walkability in the Downtown by establishing policies, objectives and guidelines. What are your opinions on the draft policies, objectives and guidelines?

I applaud Salt Lake City for exploring ways to make our downtown more walkable. I support the draft policies and would like to see the work continue to move forward.

1 Supporter

Name not shown inside Council District 5

January 23, 2014, 7:34 PM

I'm all for more midblock crossings. And I do think that we need them to allow pedestrians to have safe, preferential treatment on the roads.

I think every block that we want to promote pedestrians on should have one. Particularly 200 east between 3rd and 4th south.

1 Supporter

Matt Gray inside Council District 4

January 23, 2014, 11:26 AM

I'll take a paved mid-block walkway any day, but It would be great to use more interesting materials. Brick, cobblestone, tile, etc. The bland asphalt on Edison Street doesn't say "walk here" to me. Let's get creative!

2 Supporters

Archie Phillips inside Council District 5

January 23, 2014, 11:24 AM

This is a great idea, keeping in mind that downtown is deserted after 6:00 PM. Careful consideration needs to be given to light levels in these future city canyons.

1 Supporter

Carole Straughn inside Council District 7

January 23, 2014, 10:38 AM

Two thoughts. I imagine that mid-block walkways actually reduce crime, because of more eyes watching. If so, opponents might be swayed, if shown evidence for less crime.

After implementing mid-block walkways downtown, could we implement it more fully in Sugar House? I would like to walk from my home on 1300 East to the SH shopping areas and 1100 East without having to breathe the exhaust on 2100 South. I believe many of my neighbors would get out of their cars and walk, if this were possible.

Brad Duncan inside Council District 6

January 22, 2014, 3:14 PM

This is a great project. It is one that I fully support and I look forward to watching the progress. Increasing intersections - even if just for foot traffic - would go a long way toward making our city more walkable.

Midblock Walkways Project

The Midblock Walkways Project addresses walkability in the Downtown by establishing policies, objectives and guidelines. What are your opinions on the draft policies, objectives and guidelines?

3 Supporters

Name not shown inside Council District 6

January 22, 2014, 11:02 AM

Trees are LOVELY in the summer, for shade. Then they turn into debris causers (leaf-dropping) with attendant slippery or obscured footing for those over a certain age, followed by impeding effective snow removal down to non-slippery surfaces. I love trees, but if walking is the issue other than in the summer, are there other alternatives?

1 Supporter

Tyson Carbaugh-Mason inside Council District 3

January 22, 2014, 10:30 AM

I agree that these midblock crossings do need some sprucing up, as most of them currently suffer from gross neglect. I think we can look to the recent Gateway improvements on Rio Grande Street as one idea of how to improve the quality of these streetscapes. Also, where available, creation of public plazas/fountains/gardens should be encouraged. These spaces help to alleviate the heat island created during the summer months and add to the connectivity of people to the city.

4 Supporters

Lynn Schwarz inside Council District 7

January 22, 2014, 10:21 AM

I think this is a great idea as this breaks up a tendency to monolithic blocks of buildings. These walkways will have to be kept up to be inviting and proper lighting will be a must.

2 Supporters

William Littig inside Council District 3

January 21, 2014, 8:03 PM

Well written, pro-pedestrian but why can't the word "TREES" be used. Why are any businesses excused from "Street Trees" allowed to pave the boulevard strip. Native plants are to be encouraged where practical to include sycamore trees.

2 Supporters

Name not shown inside Council District 6

January 21, 2014, 5:55 PM

Creating pedestrian walk ways would indeed make Downtown SLC a "friendlier" place. However we must take into consideration the fact that every time a car stops for a pedestrian more pollutants are spewed into our already dirt air. Therefore we must balance pedestrian ease with easing the grip pollution has on our fine city. Overhead enclosed pedestrian bridges would be a solution, albeit expensive.

Derek Hardman inside Council District 4

January 21, 2014, 2:25 PM

Midblock Walkways Project

The Midblock Walkways Project addresses walkability in the Downtown by establishing policies, objectives and guidelines. What are your opinions on the draft policies, objectives and guidelines?

Oddly enough, the image accompanying this portal (Edison Street at its intersection with 300 South) is one of the areas I was envisioning for a "midblock walkways" (another one is just southeast and would run between 200 and 300 East and 300 and 400 South, terminating next to the current location of Stoneground Pizza).

From a tax base perspective, this seems to be a very prudent, cost effective approach (particularly with regards to RDA-owned properties).

2 Supporters

Amanda Moore outside Salt Lake City Council Districts

January 21, 2014, 12:23 PM

I think eliminating all north south traffic on Main street and creating a walkable mall area would greatly improve the area. I think of Denver and how vibrant there downtown is where they have done that. Trax is available there already and the sidewalks would be great additions to all eateries and pubs along the way. It would feel much more vibrant with city creek and the new theater area if people were walking everywhere and there were no cars to compete with.

Scott Christensen inside Council District 2

January 21, 2014, 12:01 PM

Midblock walkways.

This would be crosswalks halfway through the block. Most I don't mind if they are there so long as they are timed better so they don't interfere with the flow of traffic between lights. Problem being, when it stops the flow of traffic we get traffic backing up into the intersections and causing gridlock.

I currently have a problem with all the various styles of traffic lights and pedestrian traffic lights. Quit changing them and make a standard light. It has more than once caused confusion and dangerous situations for pedestrians and vehicular traffic.

I agreed in part with another commenter about using flowery words in policies and proposals. Speak plainly so the common person understands.

If the midblock walkways are crosswalks between blocks why are the other people talking about benches and places to rest. I don't see where that has anything to do with a midblock crosswalk.

I think we need to train the public better. We have a lot of people who step out in traffic without looking and without caring. Why can't we go back to people being responsible when they cross and do it safely? Not have them expect traffic to stop unless a crosswalk light says to stop. Pedestrians shouldn't cross unless it is clear and safe.

3 Supporters

ken luker inside Council District 5

January 21, 2014, 11:27 AM

In general, I support the idea of having mid-block walkways, for all the reasons set forth in the document. However, I am bothered by the text of the material as presented, probably because I am quite sensitive to the need for plain, undecorated, every-day English that says what needs to be said without embellishment and jargon. I find several places in the text where this need is not met. Let me be specific:

Midblock Walkways Project

The Midblock Walkways Project addresses walkability in the Downtown by establishing policies, objectives and guidelines. What are your opinions on the draft policies, objectives and guidelines?

"through recognition and respect various factors" is missing the word "of" before "various".

"accessible, comfortable, connected, convenient, engaging, and vibrant" could stop after "convenient". The "engaging and vibrant" are cloying words in the artsy jargon of city planners and architects and add nothing to the message.

"appropriate built form outcomes of future development." Leave out "built form outcomes of future," as it is just architectural jargon. "Appropriate development" does the job better.

"enhance the built form, character and function of mid-block walkways" could be "enhance the mid-block walkways." In fact, throughout the document, get rid of such artsy pablum as "built form, character and function". I suggest that you have a non-architect read the document with a red pencil. (I like architects, just not the "in-crowd" jargon.)

With that personal point of irritation out of the way, I admit that I really like the proposed guidelines and hope the interconnectedness of the downtown area can be enhanced thereby.

Steven McQuinn inside Council District 4

January 21, 2014, 11:24 AM

Where appropriate, midblock walkways should allow for ample places to sit a spell for those who seek a respite from car traffic. More than just benches, pleasant sitting should be a part of the design, when possible. Where there is something to observe, there should be places to sit and watch. As for art, I feel the emphasis should be on works that draw elements from their location, or draw attention to their location, nice touches that make the place complete.

1 Supporter

Dave and Rita Cross outside Salt Lake City Council Districts

January 21, 2014, 10:49 AM

These are all good objectives for Salt Lake City! Once the horse-drawn carriages are things of the past, the area will be safer, cleaner and more pleasant!

1 Supporter

Name not shown inside Council District 4

January 21, 2014, 9:49 AM

The mid-block walkways might also be accompanied by mid-block street crossings. When crossing the street at an intersection a pedestrian is walking parallel to fast moving traffic that may turn across the crosswalk at relatively high speed even though the cross traffic is halted for the pedestrian, (although the pedestrian may

Midblock Walkways Project

The Midblock Walkways Project addresses walkability in the Downtown by establishing policies, objectives and guidelines. What are your opinions on the draft policies, objectives and guidelines?

also have to contend with vehicles making right-turns-on-red after stopping). At a mid-block crossing there is only traffic coming from two directions

1 Supporter

Name not shown inside Council District 3

January 21, 2014, 9:42 AM

Yes, make our city as walkable as possible. I don't think we need to spend money on art and decoration. However, some greenery, occasional benches, and bike racks might be nice.

Name not shown outside Salt Lake City Council Districts

January 21, 2014, 9:33 AM

Wonderful idea and something the city has needed for many years. Splitting up the large blocks is one thing but making the paths interesting, and vibrant, is the real key to success. The city did this once before under Stephen Goldsmith with the mid-block path between 200 South and Pierpont Avenue. Melbourne Australia is a city that has done this very well. Melbourne does not suffer from the large city blocks like Salt Lake but they do have numerous small alleyways/paths throughout the city. They encourage art (yes even street art) and have buildings that can accommodate very small cafe/restaurants. Needless to say these alleyways are some of the most vibrant places in the city. Salt Lake City does not have nearly the density of Melbourne, so it will need to be even more creative in its approach. However, the principles that Melbourne has embraced should be considered.

2 Supporters

marie taylor inside Council District 4

January 21, 2014, 8:37 AM

hello neighbors ! if we invest in interesting walking choices, people will start walking more. hense, air quality will improve. supporting this, is supporting the improvement of the air quality. this is not just about making things pretty. this is also about making walking more safe and pleasant. when this item is up for public comment at the planning commission and city council meeting. please attend and be heard !

1 Supporter

Name not shown inside Council District 6

January 21, 2014, 8:22 AM

There isn't any good walking experience with the current air quality.. Let's put our resources into that first. Stop spending \$\$\$ to make things "pretty" with a bandaid.

3 Supporters

Name not shown inside Council District 4

January 17, 2014, 6:08 PM

Breaking up the blocks and encouraging intra-block activity makes for a much more interesting downtown

Midblock Walkways Project

The Midblock Walkways Project addresses walkability in the Downtown by establishing policies, objectives and guidelines. What are your opinions on the draft policies, objectives and guidelines?

(living) experience. Wouldn't it be even more appealing to "hide" art or green (trees, plants or gardens) in "off the beaten paths" to discover and experience?

4 Supporters

Downtown Master Plan Vision Statement

Does the draft vision statement reflect what you said?

All On Forum Statements sorted chronologically

As of August 15, 2014, 10:03 AM



As with any public comment process, participation in Open City Hall is voluntary. The statements in this record are not necessarily representative of the whole population, nor do they reflect the opinions of any government agency or elected officials.

Downtown Master Plan Vision Statement

Does the draft vision statement reflect what you said?

Introduction

The Downtown Master Plan team has published the Public engagement report and draft vision statement. The report documents all public engagement activities completed by mid-August including the draft vision statement, principles, and a detailed explanation of how these were developed.

Downtown Master Plan Vision Statement

Does the draft vision statement reflect what you said?

As of August 15, 2014, 10:03 AM, this forum had:

Attendees:	979
On Forum Statements:	15
All Statements:	15
Minutes of Public Comment:	45

Downtown Master Plan Vision Statement

Does the draft vision statement reflect what you said?

Eric Hamren outside Salt Lake City Council Districts

November 14, 2013, 6:22 AM

I generally support the vision statement but I feel like its not specific enough to our unique situation of how accessible the outdoors are here. It touches on it but I would like to see that as a more central part. Also: in regards to previous comments and as a cyclist who has no car and bikes everywhere, I would highly support a city ordinance regarding bike laws. I work very hard to share the road with cars and follow the same rules. But there should definitely be unique laws governing cyclist since we are not the same thing. We share the same space but there needs to be clarity as to what is expected from both of us. (especially cyclists staying on the correct side of the road and staying off sidewalks)

Steven McQuinn inside Council District 4

October 31, 2013, 10:27 PM

Let's pay attention to the question, and out of generosity, interpret it broadly: Does the draft statement reflect our values?

Yes, in my case.

I live ten blocks outside the downtown district and I walk through it frequently, approaching from the East along 300 S, 200 S, 100 S and South Temple, usually returning along these routes. My destinations include the City Library, Gateway Center, City Creek Center, and the City Creek natural area via Memorial Park.

There are areas I avoid, like around Pioneer Park at night, and between Gateway and Rio Grande Station. I have walked North/South within the district along various streets, none of them as good as the East/West experience.

So that's the perspective from which I view the vision statement, not as a commuter or a shopper who needs a parking space but as a rider of Shank's Mare.

I have to say that the values within the vision statement come to life for me mostly during special events, like Gallery Stroll, First Night and Sundance, and with little, dispersed celebrations like the randomly placed street pianos.

Along my walking routes, I experience a sense of neighborhood community only outside the district by a few blocks, particularly along 300 S where the commercial district begins (quaintly funky) and around some blocks on 100 S where the apartment buildings are clustered. I wish the same sense of trekking through little communities, like Manhattan's various neighborhoods, were possible downtown, but I've never seen that.

There's criticism in the comments about the vision statement falling short of an implementation plan. I don't mean to sound condescending, but that's because it is a vision statement, not a plan for implementation. The values expressed in the draft vision statement could be translated into plans, they might even inspire plans. We will have to see.

There's also criticism that the draft vision statement is too vague and generalized. I don't agree. The wording

Downtown Master Plan Vision Statement

Does the draft vision statement reflect what you said?

stakes out a values stance that clearly favors some arguments and opposes other arguments. I think it would serve as a touchstone for future policy deliberations, and that is its function.

Even though I don't live downtown, I live where I do because it is close to both downtown and the foothills north and east of the city. There is scarcely any downtown in the world with such proximity to wild land and a mountain range of National Park caliber. Living in this place, we enjoy a heritage that we are obligated to protect, and a mandate that we are obligated to pursue. I think the vision statement says that.

1 Supporter

Name not shown inside Council District 6

October 1, 2013, 6:04 PM

I think the vision is a good starting point and hope to see the plan outline the details and honestly assess how we are doing as a City. I think a number of people leaving comments dont realize that this is just a vision and the intro to the topic says that more will come. I have seen City Planners out and about at a number of events (farmers market, art festival) and appreciate the opportunity to participate. Yes, I do think the statement reflects what people have said in the process so far.

1 Supporter

Scott Christensen inside Council District 2

September 30, 2013, 12:13 PM

Nice and flowery. I agree with several who have already posted. I avoid downtown. To many high priced, over inflated, items. I can find quality items at other stores with a lot less hassle anywhere. I really dislike the blue parking boxes and how much it costs. Other parking downtown is also to expensive. Paying for parking to buy over priced merchandise sucks. Too many bicyclists who think they are God's gift to the city. Some even have a death wish. It isn't car friendly and guess what...I drive a car to go shopping. Trax costs too much and if I purchase a large item I can't get to the trax train. Cycling downtown is stupid and very unsafe. But by saying that you will start closing off more areas to cars. Another bad move. These people don't obey simple traffic laws. Then they go home and teach their kids these bad habits and blame automobiles when their kid get hit because they were doing the same thing the parent did. Too much overpriced businesses and no where to park. Need to spread downtown out a little bit more.

1 Supporter

Name not shown inside Council District 6

September 30, 2013, 10:26 AM

Who did the City pay to come up with the VISION Statements and VALUES... we should get our money back.

First, the Vision and Values should be up front not at the end of the report... you spend 95% of the document telling who and how you got to the Vision and Values.

Second, each Element of the Vision is so generic that any City would want them to be part of their Vision. These need to be unique to Salt Lake City and most important where are the Details behind the Vision? I could

Downtown Master Plan Vision Statement

Does the draft vision statement reflect what you said?

have provided the City for free the same Vision Statements and then they could have paid someone to determine how to meet the Vision.

This document should talk about past vision statement failures or city goals that have failed and how they related to the new Vision. What are the details on this new vision. What is the timeframe and priority? How do we measure them to know we have met them.

This report is a bunch of BS that has little substance and wasted a lot of time and money. Let's get a detail and actionable vision plan.

1 Supporter

Marjorie McCloy inside Council District 5

September 26, 2013, 2:09 PM

Love the statement. I would add that our vision should include affordable downtown access. Parking meters that stop at 6:00 pm and lower fares for close-in TRAX or buses would be helpful. I'd also like to see something specific about care for the environment (plastic bottle/bag-free zone is one idea).

3 Supporters

Name not shown inside Council District 5

September 26, 2013, 11:19 AM

Way too long for a vision statement, and way too many undefinable terms. If you want an inviting downtown, focus on incentivizing civil behavior. Stop sending the message that cyclists are a privileged class of folks who can hop from street to sidewalk to crosswalk, and ignore signs and stoplights. Does the city really raise enough from parking fees to offset the cost of the blue boxes? Let's just have 2 hours of free parking and let meter-readers ticket the rest. Too many ideas of "livability" here simply benefit the young, the well-off and the physically fit. The rest of us pay our taxes, and we expect a downtown that works for us, too.

Ben Mates inside Council District 7

September 25, 2013, 11:30 AM

The devil is in the details. How do we make downtown a destination for an array of cultural and commercial activities and still promote clean air and liveable neighborhoods? Those who visit downtown are very likely to do so in vehicles that foul our air, clog our streets and turn housing into parking lots. Turning this vision into reality will involve a willingness to question the foundations of business-as-usual and a commitment to creative, integrated solutions - nothing less than a radical transformation of the way we occupy our landscape.

2 Supporters

Name not shown inside Council District 4

September 25, 2013, 11:30 AM

Reading the vision statement...it's a list of adjectives. It's a natural result of drawing a vision from the public participation process, where what you get is a mess of adjectives from a crowd of people. You may want to

Downtown Master Plan Vision Statement

Does the draft vision statement reflect what you said?

develop a number of alternative visions as scenarios, rather than a single vision that will never satisfy anyone.

I find the idea of downtown as a 'neighborhood' a funny one. Neighborhood implies people living downtown, and that's simply not true. There simply aren't enough people. I care (awkwardly) little about bike amenities, although having something to chain my bike to is nice.

Affordable housing is never going to happen--downtown is a prime location and rents reflect that. And downtown is probably not the best place for 'social housing' serving very low income populations.

I am 100% for making downtown more walkable. More mid-block crossings, smaller curb radii, replacing 'suicide lanes' with pedestrian islands, and adding frangible obstacles to wider streets for force drivers to slow down are all excellent ideas.

I find the idea of downtown as a 'place for children' bemusing. It's a district of bars and offices and expensive restaurants, with wide streets and busy traffic.

I frequently both take Trax and park downtown. Much prefer the 'blue meter bandits'--makes it actually possible to FIND parking, rather than circling the block a million times, trying to dodge traffic. The parking under City Creek has been very useful in that regard.

Not seeing a lot of specifics for the vision. I recall an earlier vision where downtown was going to be the location for anything where there was one of something. Pretty irritated about the Natural History Museum being up on the hill instead of being near TRAX.

1 Supporter

Scott Morham inside Council District 5

September 25, 2013, 10:42 AM

Ahhh, rainbows and unicorns! I like them too! Why would anyone go downtown, what is there to draw them to the area? Shopping? It is much easier to shop almost anywhere else than downtown. Restaurants? I avoid downtown like the plague due to the blue meter bandits. Theater? I do go there occasionally for that.

If you want people to go downtown, there actually has to be something there. AND you have to make it easy! So why did they locate the Natural history museum up in the hillsides? The aquarium is down south. So is the soccer stadium. At least the Leonardo is kind of downtown. And the concerts downtown are a start.

In NYC you have various amenities located downtown (Museums, parks, shops, theater), this draws people which feed surrounding business entrepreneurs. If you want a vibrant downtown start with development that will draw people there, add some liquor licenses for cafes and restaurants. Also get rid of the blue bandits after 5 PM. It's a start.

Downtown Master Plan Vision Statement

Does the draft vision statement reflect what you said?

You have to actually have a plan, platitudes & buzzwords won't cut it....

Name not shown inside Council District 4

September 25, 2013, 9:55 AM

Save us from rental hell! It is surely nice to have neighbors who buy and fix up houses and raise families and bbq with you. It surely sucks to have renters next door who are dubious and evasive and loud and inconsiderate who could care less about the neighborhood. I would rather have a tavern in my neighborhood than more rentals!

4 Supporters

Name not shown inside Council District 3

September 25, 2013, 9:16 AM

A very interesting, politically correct loaded summary of "vision"...Question about what the definition of "vibe" is as it is used in the vision; "Diversity" - Must not abandon tradition and current life styles just to be called "diverse" or to be "accepted"..."accepted" by who? Are we concerned about people who live here or others who want their issues "accepted" and forced on us and future residents (taxpayers). I would like to see a definition of "funky" and wonder what "funky" has to do with a vision of life in Salt Lake City. If you are looking for "funky" go to southern Cal. Lastly, as far as "beautiful, quality architecture" - the new Federal Bldg at 4th So. and W. Temple, may actually be an example of "quality architecture", but it is far from "beautiful"....of course, it's too late to do anything about our tax dollars being used on this building. I am not too impressed with this portions of this vision - I want the City to keep to long held values and not change to things ("vibe/funky") just because someone thinks those are cool, and needed things for Salt Lake City. Keep us safe, keep our streets well maintained and clean, the lights on, and our neighborhoods proud and clean - leave the buzz words out of the mix. They do nothing to address the reality of our needs and desires -

2 Supporters

Name not shown inside Council District 6

September 25, 2013, 8:17 AM

I appreciate that the vision plan is a listing of all highly desirable qualities -- a lovely vision -- without a roadmap of how we get there. But as a "senior citizen", there is much in the vision plan I would not expect to access. If you expect me and others to make use of the "walkability" and "pleasant surroundings", then you have to assure me of clean sidewalks (particularly in winter) and streets clean of snow. Sure -- it is hard to clear the street at the edge of the sidewalk with cars parking there, but if I have to park my car and climb out onto rutted ice, with the risk of a fall, I am not going to go there. I know architects will disagree, but I wonder if we need to consider "skyways" in our downtown such as found in St. Paul, MN? They would serve not only in winter, but in summer out of the scorching heat. While the argument can be made that such would strip the street-level landscape of vibrancy, when it is 105 degrees or 28 and snow, I am not sure that the street level has any vibrancy I want to "enjoy".

2 Supporters

Name not shown inside Council District 5

September 25, 2013, 7:28 AM

Downtown Master Plan Vision Statement

Does the draft vision statement reflect what you said?

Please keep in mind that this has to be inclusive. Everybody to be able to enjoy downtown. I read about parking on page 16 and about Connectivity and I didn't see any point about making sure we have free parking anywhere. I understand space is limited, but currently those blue parking boxes are only a sign of exclusive usage, when you refer to a "world class" center, you mean to some people (open to only those who would be able to afford high parking fares? or high fees for the bike sharing program? or for trax?). I think we must have a free parking area right outside the free-fare zone, then people could park, board trax and enjoy downtown for free, so SLC can welcome everybody equally and therefore be a real World class center of culture.

6 Supporters

Hugh Johnson inside Council District 7

September 25, 2013, 7:22 AM

Nothing in the Vision Statement or supporting principles are specific or measureable, therefore, there is no way to tell if any of this is effective or results in a specific outcome, just a bunch of stupid buzz words strung together. Ask yourself where the MONEY is going to come from for all of this stupidity.

4 Supporters

	A	B	C
1	Page # (new organization)	Comment	Response
4		Say how plan coordinates with other City wide plans as well (Transportation, Bike/Ped, Housing). Where appropriate, refer to other plans when an item relates to it, throughout plan. Pg 27: add a header so page looks like other pages (MS)	This was added. We will work on some sort of diagram that shows how the various goals or metrics relate to Plan Salt Lake and other citywide plans.
2			
3		Can we include an introductory paragraph? These statements are incomplete sentences that need some sort of lead in statement in order to make sense. (JS)	The plan will be published in a spread format, so the two pages will face on another and the reader will be able to read both and better understand the simple statements.
4		I'm used to seeing this type of logic progression: Principles > Goals > Action Items > Metrics. Rather than separating Principles/Metrics in one section and Goals/Action Items/Projects into another section, consider trying to fit the Principles/Goals/Action Items/Metrics all onto one page per principle. That way, people can see one page at a time and at a glance the logical progression from value judgment to goal to action to measuring progress. (MS)	The plan has been reorganized to better link principles, goals action items and metrics.
8		1) GRAPHICS, page 2	graphic updated, text added
5		Homelessness graphic is confusing. (MA)	
9		In the Downtown Salt Lake City Now . . . section, there is a sentence which reads "Salt Lake City enjoys a symphony, ballet, and opera that are the envy of cities much larger in size, largely due to a long tradition of support for arts and culture." In the following . . . And in the Future section, it would be nice to build off of this commitment to the arts by mentioning the performing arts, visual arts, unique public art, and cultural offerings we hope to continue building on. Mentioning only the symphony, ballet, and opera suggests much of our art offerings are to the primarily white and upper class and leaves out all of the other amazing art opportunities like street and neighborhood festivals, cultural events, even public art. (KE)	Correction made to "Salt Lake City Now"
6			
7		Compromised of: Housing, parks, local serving retail, and community services will dominate (SB)	Incorporated into the description of SLC in the future.
8		Intimate spaces, outdoor adventure, and moves with a distinctive energy (SB)	Incorporated into the description of SLC in the future.
9		1. A needs assessment for parks in the downtown plan area. A comprehensive parks and natural lands plan. 2. Kid-friendly parks spaces on every block. Soft green and inviting environments that offer shade, desirable microclimates and permeable surfaces. 3. Greater access to parks and open space that is nearby. Spaces that feels safe and secure and easy to get to. 4. A better pedestrian environment to encourage active and alternative transportation. 5. More wintertime programming in our public spaces 6. Dog Parks 7. A recreation plan that appeals to outdoor enthusiasts with bike paths, climbing walls, skate parks, running trails, etc. 8. Park and public rights-of-way for active uses 9. More wintertime programming in our public spaces (NM)	Incorporated into the description of SLC in the future.
10	10	Add LDS church to top employers (AB)	Added
11		I thought the University and LDS Church were major employers that impact the City? (MG)	The University is not in the downtown area, so it has not been included. LDS church added as a top employer.
12	11		
13	12	I would recommend changing "Gateway District" to "Depot District" to be more consistent with the RDA (even though they may have slightly different boundaries) and Downtown Rising. The Downtown Alliance board decided to change Gateway to Depot last fall. (JD)	Change has been made
14	18	This section seems odd, how would it be used? Maybe move to housing section as guidelines? (PC)	Section reworked to describe downtown housing as a primary focus of the plan.
15	27	Destinations are too few and far between. Pg19 (HJ)	No changes made, not clear what the suggestion is.
16	37-39 (Provides Housing Choice)	The discussion of housing seems to ignore market rate units. While all types of housing in one of the guiding principles, after making this statement it seems like affordable and family housing are the stars. I would try to change the tone to want many housing units built in viable neighborhoods, including affordable units and family housing. (AS)	Addressed through changes to goals and action items.

	A	B	C
1	Page # (new organization)	Comment	Response
17		I did not feel like the affordable housing discussion showed enough strategy. I am generally not a fan of inclusionary zoning as I feel that it discourages housing investment when the demand is not particularly strong. Incentives, hand-holding by the city, height bonuses, etc. all seem to me to be more useful. Also, as I recall, in some districts the housing mix is almost all low-income affordable and the desire has been to encourage more market-rate housing. You could consider a combination of encouraging affordable developments with incentives in areas where affordable housing is lacking and letting markets freely build housing for, say 5 years, and then reassess whether the city needs to intervene to achieve housing mix. In any case, it is worth visiting with builders of affordable housing and market-rate housing to get ideas on what might work to achieve a healthy mix. Finally, I note that setting aside "affordable" units in condominiums is particularly difficult because it is hard to police the turn-over of affordable units to other low-income households and HOA's usually have the right to make special assessments, which the low-income occupants would have difficulty paying. (AS)	Action items were modified to include basic direction on creating tools and implementing the City's Housing Policy.
18		While I like the urbanism of family housing downtown, I don't have a sense of how deep this market may be. Did you get a lot of feedback from families that larger units are lacking? I did a double take on his issue when it was first introduced because it seemed to be a goal throughout downtown. Later in reviewing the matrices, it appeared to be focused on the south districts which seems more appropriate since housing densities may be lower there, although I am not certain that it is a good goal for the 500-600 South district. I think the discussion of schools goes along with family housing. It crops up under the vision of "Is Prosperous" and seems out of place and is missing in the discussion of housing choice on page 35. (AS)	The issue of market is mixed. Not enough info on whether or not families will live downtown because there is such a limited supply. Comments received indicate that they would if there were safe outdoor spaces and quality schools nearby. School and housing location moved to Housing CHoice.
19		(pg 35)I recommend the city create an incentive program for developers that is in line with the Mayor's livability and sustainability initiatives. I think these incentives could be tied to impact fees... developers could reduce impact fees on their projects if they score more points during development and construction periods (electric charging stations for vehicles, rooftop gardens, bike accessibility and ease, etc. etc.). Councilman LaMalfa has mentioned interest in this and I think it has merit. (JD)	Addressed as development of tools to promote housing, a specific action item.
20		I don't think requiring every single new development to include affordable housing is reasonable or realistic (AB)	Action item modified to align programs and development regulations and create incentives.
21		part of the challenge with downtown housing is that majority of affordable housing is located on the westside because of the affordability of land. You can require developers to build 30% of their units as affordable, but these are difficult to pencil on paper. Somewhere in the goals you need to note INCENT developers to equitably build housing. Does this make sense? (MG)	modified action item to focus on developing incentive programs for affordable housing.
22		METRIC: "Perceptions of homeless concentration" <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not measurable. It might be better to use the number of homeless or the number of homeless receiving services. Additional metrics to consider: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rental vacancy rate. • Percentage of severely cost burdened households (households spending 50% or more of income on housing costs). • Median sold price as compared to MSA. • Rental rate distribution as compared to MSA. • Availability of ADA or universal design units. Key metrics: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Percentage of severely cost burdened households. • Percentage of rental units rented at an affordable rate. • Percentage of families with children. (MA) 	Deleted perceptions of homeless metric.
23		Note: It would be difficult to track many of the metrics listed. ACS data can have a large margin of error when dealing with small geographical areas, and Accela is not currently set up to track data for many of the metrics listed. (MA)	Deleted most of the metrics, focused on number of housing units and a breakdown of those units by type.
24		What is the current number of housing units Downtown? Is a goal of 9,000 high enough? Should the goal be higher if it is the primary focus of the Plan? (PC)	This is the amount that the market can absorb. If we exceed it, than we are reaching our goals quicker than anticipated.

	A	B	C
1	Page # (new organization)	Comment	Response
25		One of my concerns is the repetitive reference to inclusionary zoning. We are aware that Council has mentioned this in their policy statements, but my understanding is that it is their desire to evaluate it as an option. We have looked into inclusionary zoning as a possible way of getting more affordable housing, however there are as many bad examples as there are good ones. We hope that we can sit down with you again at some point and have a good discussion about whether inclusionary zoning should be included as part of any plan and if there are other incentives we should be exploring. (MA)	Inclusionary zoning specifically taken out. Focus of DTMP will be on developing tools, which may include a number of options but defers to Housing Plan.
26		For metrics, use # of housing units broken down by type, rental vs. owner occupied and diversity of incomes	Metrics for housing units, broken down and renter vs. owner occupancy used. Pg 33
27		Action Item reads: Require 30% affordable housing in all new developments with a residential component so that units are affordable to a population segment relative in income distribution and family size to those working in the downtown core (typically a household earning up to 80% of the area median income)(option to pay into a fund for affordable housing development). ALT: Provide at least XX% of the affordable housing units needed citywide. COMMENTS: <input type="checkbox"/> What is the 30% requirement based on? <input type="checkbox"/> Should the City implement an inclusionary zoning policy just for the downtown master plan area, or should inclusionary zoning be evaluated at a larger geographical scale? <input type="checkbox"/> HAND is currently evaluating inclusionary zoning policies (both mandatory and incentive-based) as part of the Community Housing Plan planning process. (MA)	Action items were simplified to relate more towards existing programs.
28		Action item reads: Assist developers in applying for low interest loans and other financing programs available to implement affordable housing near transit including the City's Housing Trust Fund, Wasatch Front Transit-Oriented Development Loan Fund, Olene Walker Housing Loan Fund, Tax Increment Financing, Low Income Housing Tax Credit, and New Markets Tax Credit. COMMENT: <input type="checkbox"/> What is meant by "Assist developers in applying for..."? Does this suggest that the City will provide technical support to developers during the application process for the various financing options noted? (MA)	Action item modified to align City programs with other programs listed.
29		ACTION ITEMS, page 35: • Create additional loan tools, land purchase, and ground lease opportunities for the development of market-rate units. • Explore housing program alternatives that allow development of units affordable to people at middle-incomes to enable market-rate developers to create greater amounts of housing affordable to working households (up to 150% of Salt Lake City median income). • Investigate incentive programs (i.e. tax abatement or rebates) for development of high density market-rate housing downtown. COMMENT: <input type="checkbox"/> Should the development of market-rate units be incentivized or left to the free market? (MA)	Most of these action items were simplified. Planning will leave it up to the program administrators to determine if market rate units can be incentivized.
30		ACTION ITEMS, page 35: • Evaluate and address regulatory process, including permitting and fees, to encourage new housing development downtown. • Evaluate construction permit process for efficiency and speed. COMMENT: <input type="checkbox"/> Should these action items be specific to Downtown or implemented at the citywide level? (MA)	IT is appropriate in the DTMP because most of our new residential units will be constructed in the Downtown area. Therefore we can modify the downtown zones to address issues unique to Downtown.
31		GOAL, page 35: • Integrated homeless services into the neighborhood fabric. COMMENTS: <input type="checkbox"/> Include a metric for development of and improvements to homeless facilities to reduce impact on surrounding neighborhood. <input type="checkbox"/> Add permanent supportive housing (PSH) to the SRO metric. <input type="checkbox"/> Delete the "Homelessness Strategies Report" action item. (MA)	These have been included.
32		Important to keep downtown economically diverse to avoid creating segregated class districts.	Worked into the "Is Prosperous" section.

	A	B	C
1	Page # (new organization)	Comment	Response
33		A goal should be to provide diverse open space opportunities that include contact with nature, recreation, play and event choices. Housing needs parks. NM	Action item under first goal addresses this.
34	41-43 (Vibrant and Active)	P. 34, "an active calendar of public and private events," is mentioned. It may make sense to link up with NowPlayingUtah.com, an event listing supported by the Salt Lake City Arts Council, the Utah Division of Arts and Museums, Downtown Alliance, Salt Lake County ZAP Program, among others. Eventually, someone could request a special identification of events downtown. In any case, it is better not to have multiple comprehensive listings. (AS)	This has been simplified to list as an action item and to reference existing calendars or work on creating a unified calendar that is managed by an outside organization that already helps manage a calendar.
35		I know adding 15,000 people is ambitious. But Sugar House might come close to that figure in the next five years. If the downtown is to be the real center, the population should unquestionably be greater than any other area of the City. (RW)	20,000 people is approximately 75% of the projected growth for SLC. No changes made.
36		Here are the minimum targets for density in downtown San Diego, according to a presenter today. Housing: 75 units per acre. Jobs: 50 jobs per acre. (RW)	While interesting, these targets do not necessarily relate to Downtown SLC.
37		If you are going to list examples of public places where these events might occur, you may want to include Pioneer Park, Regent Street (as there are a lot of plans for developing here), and even the Finch Lane Gallery. (KE)	specific references taken out.
38		"Streamline the events permitting process and establish reasonable fees . . . Create a one-stop-shop at City Hall for events and revocable permits." (Perhaps also include lease and other fees, not just permitting.) (KE)	Action item was split into two different items, reworded for more clarity.
39		"Identify, organize, and promote a single prominent "information clearing house" for Downtown events." (This idea has been discussed greatly as an important part of the duties of the Cultural Core project. There have also been attempts to better utilize the website NowPlayingUtah.com as the clearing house for events. These are things to think about (you probably already have), but may be better to use and improve something that already exists rather than start a new calendar of events from scratch.) (KE)	This has been simplified to list as an action item and to reference existing calendars or work on creating a unified calendar that is managed by an outside organization that already helps manage a calendar.
40		People watching stirs a mental images of the Capital in the hunger games. Maybe this should be the best gathering place (AB)	No changes made.
41		3. There are sections that discuss measures of success. There should be a few additional metrics added to the Downtown Principle "Is Vibrant & Active". The Metrics that should be added are 1) retail sales and 2) net office absorption. (MaG)	The Planning Commission reviewed the metrics and identified the metrics chosen. It is difficult to get retail sales data for the entire Downtown area because the boundaries in the master plan do not necessarily follow zip codes, which is how the State tracks retail sales.
42		This seems like a really big item. I'm not sure the spaces need to be child-friendly as much as not be child deterrents (or parent deterrents on behalf of their children). This seems to be better stated and more clearly described in the section Is Welcoming & Safe. (KH)	Action item modified to address providing child friendly amenities within new developments.
43		o Catalytic Projects: I was thinking that this could be the place to add a Catalytic Project to reduce underutilized property throughout downtown by encouraging development through regulation, incentive, and amenity development. (MD)	This is listed in several places, but the catalytic projects are tied to districts. This is a mentioned catalytic project in the Broadway district and as action items in other districts.
44		o Comment on Goal 1, Action Item 2: 30% is a really high affordable housing requirement. I think the notion is good, but we need a carefully crafted target. Maybe that is all the action item should say is "Develop affordable housing targets for new development." Also I think it should be clear that affordable can mean market affordable (e.g. Someone making 80 AMI could afford a \$200,000 condo, even though it may be small.), not just income restricted. (MD)	Specific numbers were removed.
45		I would like to see an added action item: things to do on Sunday (MI)	Added to first goal.
46		1. Trees, Parks, gardens, public space and walkability are one of the greatest factors in urban livability and help address urban issues of obesity, community development, and contact with nature, local economic development and ecological diversity. There should be more emphasis on this in making downtown a vibrant place. It is more than uniting city and nature. (NM)	These items are factored into several of the action items and in other guiding principle sections.
47		Use # of people living Downtown and % of undeveloped/underdeveloped land as metrics (PC)	Metrics added. Pg 31
48	44-48 (Is Prosperous)	Page 36 "Develop programs to attract major businesses to downtown". I love this.... What do these programs look like? How can we get groups like EDCU and GOED to take SLC more seriously? (JD)	Additional action item added about coordinating with other economic development groups.

	A	B	C
1	Page # (new organization)	Comment	Response
49		Page 36 "A helpful government permitting environment for businesses and developers looking to grow downtown." This goal and the respective action items should be a recurring theme throughout the document. (JD)	Plan was reorganized so that the guiding principles, goals and action items apply Downtown wide.
50		Appreciate that you have preservation, historic architecture, or landmarks in several sections of metrics. The recognition of importance across sectors of community and economy was what was desired in the Community Preservation Plan. Importantly, including the last goal in the Is Prosperous section with the action item "To incentivize preservation and reuse of character-contributing buildings" is critical in communicating that rehabilitating and reusing historic architecture is not just beautiful (and therefore optional), it is also important to our economy in order to be prosperous. (KH)	NO changes required.
51		Request to consider revising the metric "number of older buildings repurposed" to "number of businesses and housing units repurposed in older buildings." For example, if you repurposed the Salt Lake Hardware Building, that is a 1 under the current metric. However, it is an enormous building that serves as home to more than 20 businesses and I believe it's unfair that it should be measured on a similar metric level as say number of bike racks because the amount of effort that goes into one is so much different than the other. If this metric was revised, I'd like to see it included. (KH)	Metrics were reduced in number to focus more economic issues. Maintaining the older building stock in Downtown is a metric in the "is Beautiful" section.
52		The PC recommends adding statements about the permanence of buildings and their potential for reuse. Specifically they want to discourage short term type of retail structures that only have a 15-25 year life. The goal should be to have buildings designed so they are adaptable to market changes and change in use. (PC)	Action item added to page 37 to address this.
53		First goal, first action item: add AND fringe or immediate surrounding neighborhoods. Also include in the second goal. (MG)	Changes made.
54		Sales tax could be a metric, but may be difficult to break down accurately depending on how state calculated numbers, Zip codes don't align with the master plan boundaries. Median household income should be used, but eliminate increase and use it as a trend. Number of jobs over the median incomes; local vs. chain businesses could all be used as metrics (PC)	Household income and number of jobs chosen as key metrics because the info is available and requires less City resource to track vs. some of the other mentioned metrics. (Pg 37)
55		A section that might be missing that I know has been discussed in the past is a goal to "be the center of the nonprofit sector in Utah." An action item that could stem from that would be "encourage development of a nonprofit incubator." This would provide a collective space for nonprofits to office, network, share resources, and create new innovative partnerships that will benefit the city in innumerable ways. (KH)	This was not added to the plan. It seems rather specific and not sure that City programs and policies can be directed to help achieve this.
56		In the Prosperous section, you have the Mayor's Livability Agenda as one of the metrics listed. Because there are so many metrics included in the livability agenda (i.e. Sustainable Salt Lake Plan 2015), I don't think it should be listed as one metric under this topic - not sure what you'd measure. Maybe you can address the Sustainable Salt Lake Plan in a similar fashion as Plan Salt Lake and address coordination? (DL)	Metrics reduced.
57	49-51 (Fosters Equity and Opportunity)	section does not represent equity. Rework (ES)	Section has been redone to focus more on equity and access to needs, services, etc.
58		Diverse and Eclectic: what does the Church think? (SB)	They were sent a copy of the plan to provide comments.
59		Immigrant communities bring life to cities. They are great urban gardeners and market vendors. (NM)	We feel that his is reflected in the plan.
60		Demographic breakdown of downtown population should be the metric (PC)	Metric selected (pg 39)
61		In description, No Hispanics in the early 1900's? (MG)	Description removed specific ethnicities. The quote has been deleted.
62		Utah and Salt Lake City is missing a cultural center where locals and tourist can go to a center where they can buy cultural items, food or have an experience. Tourists think eating at the RED IGUANA is SLCs cultural experience. Friends that visit me often ask where the ethnic cultural hub is? (MG)	This comment has not been addressed. Is Downtown the right place for this? It seems like this type of center might be better located in one of the peripheral neighborhoods.
63		o Goal 1, Action Item 4: I think this is covered in housing choice. Delete o Goal 3, Action Item 1: Why just native Americans? Delete Action Item or expand to other ethnic groups (Japanese, Greeks, ...) (MD)	Goal 1, AI4 deleted, addressed in Housing Choice section. Goal 3 AI1 modified to be more inclusive.

	A	B	C
1	Page # (new organization)	Comment	Response
64	53-55 (artful and Unique)	We don't agree with the two metrics selected at the Technical Committee meeting because it does not help us highlight events that are unique to Salt Lake. The "Number of large shows and events" could refer to a Paul McCartney or Katy Perry concert, but neither of these events showcases the uniqueness of Salt Lake. It does highlight our capacity to do such events, but these events can occur anywhere. (KE)	Metrics narrowed down to include historic buildings and public art.
65		Public art is arguable the most visible way for the City to show off the artfulness and uniqueness of the City. We believe this is one of the most important metrics. The metric "number of public art pieces" definitely needs to be captured here, but also including the metrics "number of large-scale public art pieces" and "distribution of public art" as sub-metrics will be very helpful in illustrating the public art component. (KE)	Metric about number of public art pieces described to include scale and location.
66		Add more language about terminating vistas and other urban design features to make the built environment more unique. (PC)	Although not in this section, this action item is in the Unites City and Nature and Is Beautiful section. Urban design action items are found in every section.
67		Add something about making event planning easier to accommodate a wide variety of events (PC)	Action item added
68		Request to ADD: Number of celebrated historical sites to this section as well (KH)	Added as a metric
69		Use # of historic buildings and public art as metrics (PC)	these metrics were selected (pg 41)
70		What might be missing here is a goal to the effect of "Attract the creative class" and an action item to "provide incentives and opportunities for downtown to be the center of Utah's cultural and artistic population." (KH)	Action Item added.
71		o Goal 2, Action Item 3: I know we call the lamps Indian Head Lamps, but given the Diversity Section and the emphasis of Native Americans, should we just say decretive lamps. o Catalytic Projects: I think UPAC should be added. (MD)	The official name is "cactus pole" and "Washingont Enhanced" lamp. Changes made.
72	57-59 (Is Connected)	SLC and UTA need to look at a Multi-layered approach to meet downtown travel demands: Specifically, commuters are looking for fast regional connections (to Church Office/Downtown and University). SLC residents are looking for frequent service with a lot of potential connection and options. Downtown workers are interested in circulation options. We need to talk more about these markets and how to best serve them. (HJ)	Worked into the Guiding Principle statement.
73		There is a need for frequent circulation transit service within downtown SLC. (HJ)	Action item modified to reflect need for circulator.
74		UTA and SLC need to continue to evaluate service for residents. UTA would like to continue to improve service for commuters. We need to talk about both markets. (HJ)	Action item added.
75		SLC and UTA need to further evaluate catalytic extension of the Trax system. (HJ)	Wording changed to reflect City/UTA partnership. Extensions that are already part of adopted City Policy have been left in the plan.
76		UTA would like to work with SLC to develop an operating plan for LRT + Street car. This will be looked at on a high level in the transit master plan but UTA needs to take a closer look as a stand-alone effort. Specific questions: who are the winners and losers from different service scenarios? How would UTA operate the services in downtown? (HJ)	This is better addressed in Transit Master plan and in the details of the streetcar project.
77		UTA and SLC need to further evaluate routing for the regional service routing. UTA would prefer a more vague description than 400 South extension (UTA will continue to evaluate ways to improve regional connectivity and will work with SLC on downtown circulation options. Light rail, Streetcar and bus should all be considered.) (HJ)	Wording altered to reflect more cooperation. But the 400 South extension is already in City Plans and adopted policy of the City and is carried over to this plan.
78		UTA has identified core transit service. Land use change should be accounted for along core routes. Look at markets, commuter, and special event service. See the UTA Network study and 5 year service plan. (HJ)	The City views land use changes as something that takes more into consideration that transit. The City will set the policy for location of growth and coordinate the transportation system to support that growth.
79		He would like to see the plan include having streetcar/light-rail tracks built on the two-block section of 400 West between 200 South and 400 South at the same time UTA extends the 400 South TRAX line from Main Street to 600 West. He would like to see the project promoted as completing a downtown streetcar circulator as proposed in the 2008 Downtown in Motion plan. (LG)	This is a bit specific for a master plan, something that should be discussed as the two projects move forward.
80		1. Focus on mode share and other metrics we measure regularly (RH)	Final metrics are mode share and # of housing units/jobs within 1/4 mile of transit.
81		4. Last-mile transit connections should be a focus, possibly listed as a goal (RH)	Listed as an action item.
82		5. Change language from "alternative transportation" to "providing transportation options" (RH)	Change has been made.
83		6. Change "parking equity" action item to "examine parking policies through upcoming policy study" (RH)	Change made, but modified from this proposed language to read " examine parking policy to determine the right balance of supply and demand."

	A	B	C
1	Page # (new organization)	Comment	Response
84		7. Wayfinding action items should acknowledge the existing wayfinding network. Use "improve" rather than "develop" (RH)	Correction made.
85		8. We don't want to take back UDOT streets; we wouldn't be able to maintain them a. We want to help UDOT design urban arterials that work for Salt Lake City's context (RH)	Action item deleted.
86		9. We need to clarify that transit improvement action items are ultimately decided by UTA, in partnership with SLC a. Transit goals should acknowledge that Salt Lake City will work with our partners to achieve specific transit goals (RH)	partners added.
87		In the values section, delete the words "hassle free" before parking and in the intro paragraph. (CJ)	Changes made
88		# of jobs and housing units within 1/4 mile of a transit stop.	Mode share and number of housing units within 1/4 mile of transit are selected metrics (PG 43)
89		second goal: improve bus circulation and 1/4 mile connections or Provide transportation options that support balanced mode use. (JS). Delete the word construct from the second action item of the first goal and replace with implement. 4th Goal: delete the (called destination accessibility) and the associated action item replace action item with provide a direct transit connection between Central Station and the University of Utah. Last goal on page: replace action item with "Examine parking policy through upcoming study." (CJ)	These have been included.
90		Seems like 1-2 of these goals could be omitted or combined with other goals without losing impact. (KH)	Goals were improved and similar goals were combined
91		o The Catalytic Projects: Strike the words "and to East Downtown." (MD)	Edit made.
92		Streetcar has to absolutely be cheaper than current options and different enough from trax. Also, look at Denver's Downtown loop, very efficient. (MI)	Affordability addressed in action items
93		Include connections to open space, green infrastructure, trees/habitat. (NM)	Added as an action item.
94	60-63 (is Walkable)	In regards to the urban pedestrian experience that is dynamic and stimulating, I like this approach best because it has the citizen engagement focus. Our City has a lot of proud citizens, I thi this will activate our population best. (AB)	We agree.
95		What about a metric for number of active doorways (as compared to a previous year)? (KH)	Not included. PC did not feel like this was a valuable metric.
96		Add more language about signage, wayfinding, and public parking (PC)	This is found in the "Is Connected" and "Welcoming and Safe" section. It was also added to this section.
97		Use walkscore and intersection density as metrics (PC)	After further review, metric was changed to amenity. The City will work to figure out to get a more accurate reflection that is unique to Downtown than what Walkscore provides.
98		Swap the goals, the second one should be listed first. The first goal listed should read: a mid block walkway network that places the pedestrian first. Second goal, first action item: develop a public street or walkway network within downtown blocks through land acquisition... Second to last goal: first action item move to Artful and Unique? (CJ)	Goals reorded and reworded as proposed.
99		While downtown could certainly be more dog friendly in many aspects, I'm not sure it's the type of downtown or personality that lends itself to being a "dog town." Seems like those areas that would lend themselves better are located in the neighborhoods. (KH)	Action item modified to read "provide dog friendly amenities" in the downtown.
100		Trees on every street, parks every 1/4 mile should be action items. More about natural environment contributing to walkability, character of place, quality of life, environment and comfort. (NM)	Incorporated into action items and in the description.
101	64-67 (Is welcoming and safe)	The state no longer uses red/ yellow/green. Now it is: Good, Moderate, Unhealthy for Sensitive Groups, Unhealthy, Very Unhealthy, Hazardous. (KB)	This was modified.
102		What about CPTED principles? (PC)	Basic CPTED principles are included in specific action items (Eyes on the street, defensible space, permeability, lighting, etc)
103		Use crime rate and # of ped/bike collisions with cars as the metrics	Metrics added. Pg 47
104		Last goal, first action item should read: Improve the signage and wayfinding system to direct pedestrians and motorists alike. (CJ)	Change made
105		4th goal, first action item: I think we need stronger language then Encourage. Many owners will not maintain property unless it is required. (MG)	Change made.

	A	B	C
1	Page # (new organization)	Comment	Response
106		4. Somewhere in the Downtown Principle "Is Welcoming and Safe" as well as the Goals and/or Action Items for the various Districts there should be a discussion about reducing the pan-handlers. This doesn't mean the homeless can't be there but restrict those begging. This is a big complaint that people have about City Creek and elsewhere. (MaG)	Action item added.
107	69-71 (Unites City and Nature)	Confused about comment on Page 42: Water and transit pass program (HJ)	Deleted
108		UTA and SLC should continue to evaluate options for reduced cost transit pass program. (HJ)	Agreed, but no changes made in the plan reflecting this. Plan establishes doing this as City policy.
109		Sustainable development responds to regional ecological conditions and supportive of local business and entrepreneurship (any desert or native species in 'green spaces'?) (SB)	Action item added to address this.
110		Downtown Boulderling trail as part of green spaces? (SB)	Incorporated into the Green Loop catalytic project.
111		5. Park level of service is better measured by ¼ mile walking distance rather than ¼ mile radius. Revising the existing conditions to reflect this would demonstrate a greater deficit in the downtown. (NM)	The Planning Division does not have the capacity to determine 1/4 mile walking distance right now. The plan uses 1/4 mile radius, which is about a 10 minute walk as a guide for simplicity sake.
112		9. Is ¼ mile the best measure for parks? In Chicago it is 1/10 mile to pocket parks, ¼ mile to neighborhood parks and ½ mile from community parks. (NM)	This would require more information from Parks. If Parks is able to provide these metrics, research and existing conditions, we will include it in the plan.
113		6. The public open space downtown is mostly passive in character. If Pioneer Park were to be developed to better host Twilight and other large events we need to have a place in close proximity to downtown that provides active recreation opportunities. The city has unmet need for soccer. (NM)	While soccer may not be the best fit downtown due to the size requirements, other activities have been incorporated into the green loop section.
114		1. Is there a location downtown that could be redeveloped to a more natural site to provide contact with nature and natural habitat? Surfacing City Creek is a great opportunity to do that. There is also the opportunity to re-wild stormwater detention basins so that they offer some habitat (NM)	This is identified in the Gateway's Common Park. However, it is unlikely that many parts of the downtown would be redeveloped into a more natural site. Part of this could be incorporated into the Daylighting of City Creek west of I-15.
115		Connect Downtown and Green Loop to larger trail system in the City (PC)	Action item added (pg 49)
116		Add action item to remove barriers for events in open spaces to better activate them. Make open space come alive with events, festivals, food trucks. (PC)	Action item added (pg48)
117		2. Find ways to provide multiple benefits at stormwater detention areas (public utilities land) to develop native/naturalize plant communities. (NM)	Action item addressing this added to individual districts and in Green Loop catalytic project.
118		Use number of air quality days and park space. There was some mention of using ski buses that connect DT to the ski areas, shuttles to trail connections (PC)	Air quality and park space selected and metrics added (pg 49)
119		o Goal 2, Action Item 2: I know there is a lot of references to the 500 West Park Blocks, and that they have been in plans for awhile, but I think we should say something like "Redesign and program park blocks and consider expansion." Let's face it, the current ones suck and should not be expanded.	We disagree that they should not be expanded to the south. They may not be designed well, but there have been recent changes to improve the existing ones.
120		4. A green framework plan could include: parks, natural lands, urban forestry, green infrastructure, storm water, productive landscapes, community gardens, natural lands, green roofs. How does this look in the arid west when we our water supplies are over allocated already and collecting storm water is not necessarily the same as it is in more temperate climates? How do we look to support our green areas on less and less culinary water and maintain urban livability? (NM)	Establishing this was added as a goal with specific action items.
121		5. We need to identify new ways to fund, maintain and program new parks. Urban parks are usually developed to a higher level of finish, require more maintenance and are more vibrant with programming. (NM)	Establishing this was added as a goal with specific action items.
122		1. Will there be a framework for parks and natural lands network included in the final plan? This could capture many of the ideas that are distributed throughout the document and make it more accessible to our department. 2. That framework could include level of service standards: acreage per 1000 residents, park typologies (regional, neighborhood, and pocket), programming elements (active recreation and playgrounds). (NM)	Establishing this was added as a goal with specific action items.
123		4. Can we talk about how parks are developed in conjunction with housing either by the developer or by the city with developer funds? (NM)	Added as an action item to require as part of new residential development
124		7. Can we explore other ways to green up downtown such as roof decks and green walls in addition to linear parks (NM)	This is incorporated into zoning requirements.
125		8. Can we include new kinds of play typologies including interactive art spaces, nature based-play, kinetic-play, and mobile-play in new facilities. (NM)	Added as an action item.

	A	B	C
1	Page # (new organization)	Comment	Response
126		Parks within 1/4 mile walking distance, without barriers. (NM)	Already added as an action item.
127	73-75 (is Beautiful)	This is of course very important to include: GOAL: Preserve all historic and character-contributing buildings whenever possible. ACTION ITEM: Investigate feasibility of local and national historic districts throughout downtown. ACTION ITEM: Initiate building evaluation of older buildings prior to demolition. ACTION ITEM: Prioritize development of vacant or underutilized sites over sites with viable building structures. ACTION ITEM: Incentivize preservation through tax credits at local levels. Suggesting a few edits to the action items: #2 – Initiate building evaluation of older buildings prior to redevelopment consideration. (By the time you get to considering demolition, it's usually too late. So this would reflect the need to be proactive.) #4 – Incentivize preservation through tax credits or other options at the local or state level. (This would reflect the need for the county and the state to have a role in making all the financial pieces work. It's not just the city's issue but it should be an issue collectively for all.) (KH)	This goal and action are staying in the plan. Number 2 has not been added as a metric. Number 4 was added as an action item.
128		Another action item might be to preserve views through transportation corridors to natural and architectural landmarks. This would be to reflect that there is little desire to have a city full of skybridges. And to preserve historic terminus views to things like the Rio Grande Depot, Union Pacific Depot, and 200 South the East to President's Circle at the U of U. (KH)	Added
129		1. Street trees in urban areas need adequate space, water and light to thrive. 3. Select species best adapted for urban conditions. Provide adequate area for planting and depth of soil. Plant trees in continuous trench for best results. (NM)	Added.
130		2. Establish policies and practices to protect urban trees. This includes environmental and physical factors such as construction damage, invasive species, soil compaction, drought, and air pollution.(NM)	This was added as an action item.
131		4. Use trees to soften blank walls (NM)	This is more of a zoning issue. Plan recommends creating zoning standards to address design issues.
132		Prohibit sky bridges and billboards, eliminate not just reduce (PC)	wording changed to reflect this (pg 50)
133		Cooperate more iwht UTA to make transit more aesthetic (PC)	action item added (Pg 50)
134		Goal: develop parks and publicsaces that contribut to city form and beauty. (NM)	This is addressed in "Unites City and Nature.
135		Use # of historic buildings and # of billboards removed as metrics (PC)	metrics chosen. Pg 51
136	Pg 89	The tables in the Districts section: (a) Where an action is found in three or more districts, pull it out and leave it up in the city-wide section. In the introduction to the Districts section, include a paragraph stating clearly that each district includes all of the city-wide stuff 'plus this brief list of area-specific plans.' (b) Instead of using tables, make each principle a subheading with a bulleted list of action items under it. That would make it more readable and slightly easier to comprehend (even if the subheadings do take up extra lines of space). (MS)	The list of actions in the tables have been simplified. Most actions were moved to Downtown wide.
137		District info needs to set a stage for what to keep and what to change and what the intention of change is. Identify programmatic stuff. (ES)	
138		Tell the story of the space: it developed in one way, why it should change or not, and how use changes impact it. (ES)	The description of each district has changed to accomplish this.
139		Do we understand what is there and where we want to get and how that will get us to the program and form we want in the end. (ES)	Yes
140		First off it's worth stating that I dislike the idea of forcing areas of downtown to be named where something natural hasn't emerged for decades and caught on enough to stick. Many downtown plans have tried this and it's never consistent or successful. For that reason, I'm not fully supportive of the concept other than to break the geography down into more manageably sized areas where focus can br brought. (KH)	The intent of the districts is not to start forcing areas to be named something. The district names reflect the names that are being used currently. We simply are trying to break the entire area down to be more managable.
141	90-93 Central Business District	I liked the emphasis on greening the streets and creating street-edge parks along some of our extra wide right-of-ways. (AS, pg 48)	No changes necessary
142		The discussion of the LDS property on Main and 400 South is not consistently described on page 46 and page 76. (AS)	
143		SLC and UTA will work together to improve bus stop locations. Especially on State street. (HJ)	
144		Reservations about speaking specifically about private property owners and catalytic projects in a public document (AB)	Changes made and identify these as areas of opportunity and in more general terms.

	A	B	C
1	Page # (new organization)	Comment	Response
145		What about encouraging a street art program for alley buildings and brick frontage that would bring in and highlight youth or graffiti focused are on a rotating/annual basis or something. (SB)	
146		The CBD doesn't talk much about the business community (ES)	The description of the district has been modified. Actions are directly related to business community.
147		Green street catalytic project: change the name, green streets means something different to different professions. (CJ)	Chagned.
148		I think it's important to state here that this is the city and state's financial services district. (KH)	Added
149		5. In the Central Business District Action Items it states "Establish district 'curators' to negotiate rents for local businesses, distributed mix of retail types within a particular district, ..." What does this mean? Does it morph to rent controls? (MaG)	This was modified to establish economic development tools to assist local businesses.
150		4. Green Streets (200 East and 500 West.... + 400 South and others) a. Parkways anchored on one side of street b. Urban forestry standards c. Can we use stormwater? d. Include a cross-section (NM)	Added to description of the green loop.
151		Enable street performers. Salt Lake County Health Department has had numerous complaints about bag pipe players in the downtown area. Who would decide what is allowed for street performers? (KB)	The City should.
152		1. Conceptual uses for the main street parking site are not "highest and best use" of the property (MaG)	It has been modified to list several types of uses that could help activate the south part of the CBD, including office, residential, recreation, etc.
153		o 2-3 Action Items: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cultivate downtown as a place that major employers want to be. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Activate the ground floor of all developments... <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Utilize interior streets and walkways for townhouse development... <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Complete new Performance Center and open for events by 2016 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Build a downtown streetcar.. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Redevelop the Utah Theater for use as a cultural facility and Main Street Activity generator. (MD)	These are incorporated into action items, key moves or catalytic projects.
154	94-97 Broadway	I would like to see the Broadway District overlap with several others, 1-2 blocks wide along 300 South from 450 West (Rio Grande Street) to 200 East. (KH)	The map of the area does this.
155		Always hated the name of the Broadway District. makes us sound envious of New York rather than hi and vibrant in our own way. (MI)	It is named after the street. Many places have a street called "broadway".
156		5. Mid-block Walkway Network a. Who funds and builds them? Who maintains them? b. What percentage of these areas can be permeable? c. What should be the urban forestry standard in mid-block walkways? d. Should be green and manage stormwater e. Scaled for pedestrian f. Urban forest g. Include a cross section that shows the dimensions and scale of the space or character images (NM)	Most of this will come in the form of guidelines that will allow us to be more detailed than the Plan can be.
157	98-101 Salt Palace	Add street level shops to convention hotel project; need to make sure it isn't a ghost town when we don't have conventions (MI)	The description includes this.
158	102-105	Page 62-63 I think it makes more sense to call the Gateway District the Depot District to help support future growth/redevelopment with the RDA. (JD) (KH)	The name has been changed
159		Important question for UTA and SLC - Where are intermodal hub customers going to? (HJ)	Other parts of the city upon arrival. Elsewhere in the region when they depart. The plan is intended to address what they do when they are moving to and from Central station.
160		West Side Park identified in the master plan is not a good fit. (HJ)	This is a project that has been listed for at least 15 years. The area and location is flexible.
161		More emphasis by SLC and UTA should be given to the North Temple and 500 West area. (HJ)	This is addressed in North Temple Station Area Plan.

	A	B	C
1	Page # (new organization)	Comment	Response
162		Some risk of demolition to historic buildings in Depot District (KH)	Agreed, goals and action items are intended to set the stage for further evaluation of historic buildings and processes in the area.
163		Extend Depot district to 500 South (KH)	
164		It's my strong belief that this district is not defined by the Gateway Mall, but in fact is more defined by the historic neighborhood of warehouses that sprung as development from two major competing railroads. The Gateway Mall certainly was one of the biggest investment into the neighborhood. However, it should not be ignored that Artspace was investing in neighborhood revitalization utilizing the warehouses in the 1980s. It's also important to mention that this area was the main location of several culturally diverse immigrant populations beginning in the 1870s. (KH)	The name of the area has changed and emphasized placed on entire district, not just the mall.
165		need to add something about North Temple as the main rail entrance to downtown. (MG)	Added
166		For catalytic project on pg 63, Add for example that YOU can start to see that at the node of 600 West and North Temple (MG)	Added
167		Development needs to be more active at street level and blocks broken up more (PC)	description of district reflects both of these. Maps show where midblock walkways should be and show it in multiple directions,. Action items added.
168		Dept District catalytic project should include year round farmers market. Would be one of the best things for Downtown. Make it a streetcar terminal, encourage food trucks, street performers, small craft businesses (MI)	This is incorporated into the description of the catalytic project.
169	106-109 Temple Square	O Npage 70 in the table of action items, for the first item add "in the fringe or N Temple) regarding student housing. (MG)	Action item was deleted.
170		Is the daylighting of city creek part of the folsom corridor on 200 South? Is there a way to make that connection (MG)	Yes, but it is a variety of different forms.
171		"Mid-block connection" between 1st avenue and 400 west is impractical because it happens to cross directly through/ around the Salt Lake Temple. (MaG)	It is intended to respect the existing walkways and function through Temple Square.
172	110-113 (Grand Boulevards)	For catalytic project: Some confusion with calling 500 and 600 South Grand Boulevard versus North Temple as the Grand Boulevard. Both of these streets have names such as Cesar Chavez and Martin Luther King. If the City emphasized these named streets, it would reflect on the City's commitment to diversity. Residents look at North Temple as the Grand Boulevard that leads into the City from the Airport. OR instead you should call the 500 or 600 South area the Grand Corridor two better incorporate the two streets.	The idea of 500 and 600 South being grand boulevards has existed for years. The name is being used because that is what the property owners are familiar with. North Temple is not intended to be the only grand boulevard in the City.
173		Pg 74, develop district level branding: Might be nice to add 600 West and North Temple. It has enough activity that is noted as the North border of the Depot District. (MG)	This was moved as a downtown wide action item, without a focus on specific nodes.
174		Linear Parks created in our wide ROW's: • What is the minimum width for the linear park and what desirable? When is it a park and not just a streetscape? Who builds and maintains them? Can they accommodate pocket park uses such as playgrounds and tot-lots? • Who funds and maintains them? (NM)	The specifics should be determined when the idea warrants further study, but these ideas were added to the description of the project.
175		1. Boulevards along key streets and gateways to Downtown: a. What size parkstrips do we need here, tree species, who funds and maintains them? (NM)	Description talks about considering these things.
176	114-117 Civic Center	For catalytic project on pg 53: Something like this: http://www.outsidebozeman.com/activities/climbing/rock/bozeman-rocks (SB)	Looks great. Idea has been incorporated.
177		Is the location of the civic center locked in? Should it be extended to the lot that was cleared on State and 600 South?	That relates more to South State area.
178	118-121 (Granary)	He would like to see the plan reflect that the Granary District retain its potential to NOT undergo "traditional gentrification" that redevelopment usually brings. He would like to see the Granary District retain large parts of its existing character, keep some kinds of manufacturing, allow for a different kind of zoning for a wide variety of uses, and different kinds of streets. (LG)	We feel like the description of the area and action items set the framework for other tools to be established or modified to support this concept.
179		Extend to 600 South (KH)	Done.
180		Mention that you need some type of industry to lead the way to revitalization similar to the Artspace area... just a thought... (MG)	The description has been modified.

	A	B	C
1	Page # (new organization)	Comment	Response
181		3. Fleet block a. Could the entire block be the downtown active recreation park. The park could embrace the streets around the edges and be a catalyst for neighborhood development. We have a civic park (Washington Square) an event park (Pioneer Park) and need an active recreation park (Fleet Block) (NM)	The direction that the City is going is to create an RFP with some parameters and let developers propose some future use of the project. It is not likely that the entire block will be a park.
182	122-125	could there be potential to designate as a recreational district? (MG)	What does this mean?
183		o Intro: Include a reference to "Central 9th is the home to a variety of small businesses that are taking advantage of the neighborhoods proximity to Downtown and accessibility to the region. o Comment: Ashlie thought that references to the "Oxbow" either need to be explained or deleted, because no one knows what it means. o Catalytic Project: ☒ Viaduct Shortening: Good project, but I have doubts about it ever being funded. ☒ Redevelop RDA Owned Properties: The RDA owns 4 acres of land in Central 9th. The RDA should redevelop the property with uses that encourage positive street activity, serve the neighborhood residents, and draws in people from throughout the Salt Lake County. (MD)	Changes made.
184		The catalytic project is huge. Not worth it for just a park, but for mixed use housing too. What would the construction time frame be, fiscal impact? I want to be involved further in this discussion. (MI)	All of this would be determined at a later date.
185	126-129 (South State)	Suggestion to not describe new buildings in this area as "well designed" because not everyone believes that is true. (KH)	The intent is that this in future tense, regulations would be put in place to promote well designed buildings.
186	General Comments regarding the plan	I like the idea of somehow breaking the information into topic areas related to main city functions such as Transportation, Open Space, Economic Development, Community Development, Sustainability, etc... so that any one of those departments could easily flip through and find their focus areas. However, I'm not sure how to do that without further complicating a large plan that already has several conceptual layers. (MS)	The implementation section of the chapter will be in a table format and include all of the action items without the accompanying text. We can assign responsibilities at that point, but most action items will involve a number of different agencies.
187		I was a little overwhelmed by the lists and found myself playing the game of how much of this did I read in the last section? I think some reorganization would be helpful. Specific ideas include: • A general vision statement that mentions all of the major projects and proposed new, lesser projects. This would put everything else in context and give it more of a strategic direction. It currently seems like an agglomeration of everyone's dreams, rather than a thoughtful assessment of where the focus should be. • Consolidating the action lists into one overall chart after the district discussions. I understand that you have a triple matrix, but perhaps the second column could be either a district symbol or abbreviation or the "vision" symbol or abbreviation, with the spread of the table being the other. (AS)	The plan has been reorganized to better link principles, goals action items and metrics.
188		page 65 is a duplicate of page 64 (AS)	deleted
189		I was glad to see that a downtown exercise facility was included in the plan. It could also be an anchor for the proposed Gateway edge park or the Fleet block. I'd like to see a County recreation facility, i.e. public without membership, and possibly with a swimming pool. The Main Street 400 South possibility would also be nice but	No changes needed.
190		Other resources that you may want to note include the midblock walkway plan done by the University students with Stephen Goldsmith, I think, and the downtown walking tour done by the Heritage Foundation. (MS)	these are information sources that are being used to develop the midblock walkway guidelines, which will be more specific than the plan.
191		Finally, I am unclear where retail/active uses on the first floor will be required. They can't go everywhere in this large planning area and will be more successful if there are a few concentrated, retail districts in the primarily residential areas. It is probably too aggressive to think every housing unit is going to be 1/4 mile from groceries, parks, daycares, etc. Perhaps, retail should be concentrated around transit stops and housing should strive to be 1/4 mile from the specific uses or the transit stop that will transport the residents to the grocery, day-care, park, etc.? (AS)	This will be detailed in the district descriptions, where primary active streets will be identified or preferred building types.
192		it would be worthwhile to have the police department review the plan before it is put in final form. They were always very inciteful when we asked for their help at the RDA in the '90's. (AS)	It has been routed to them.
193		Oxford Comma use inconsistent throughout document. Both are correct... just pick one or the other for sake of consistency. (JD)	Hopefully, we have corrected everything to be consistent. We love the oxford comma.
194		Capitalization of "downtown." We typically don't capitalize downtown unless it is part of a title. (JD)	The City normally capitalized Downtown as we consider it a name.

	A	B	C
1	Page # (new organization)	Comment	Response
195		I would recommend reorganizing the goals and action items in a more streamlined way. For example, under the "provides housing choice" section, lead with 2040 goals of additional units and residents and then discuss ways city can first provide incentives and assistance to developers to reach such goals. Land owners and private developers are easily offended when they are told what to do... if you are able to make them more comfortable in understanding the goals of the city and the potential benefits of a mutual relationship they will be more receptive. It is not about changing content... it is about	The plan has been reorganized to better link principles, goals action items and metrics.
196		There is limited discussion of funding in plan. UTA and SLC need to work to identify funds for the plan. (HJ)	This will be discussed in the "delivering the plan" section.
197		He also suggested that a way to make sure the plan will be useful is to have City departments make performance measures in the final adopted plan departmental goals, then make meeting the goals part of the budget process. (LG)	That would be up to the Mayor's Office to incorporate metrics into the budget process.
198		1. Structure: Have a single section that applies to the entire downtown, then divide out only what is unique to each district. (RH)	The plan has been reorganized to better link principles, goals action items and metrics.
199		2. Metrics: There are too many metrics to be realistically measured and updated on a regular basis. Pick a few. (RH)	Through the public process, we have narrowed down the metrics.
200		1. Metrics: measurement should come after you have explained the goals/vision and actions. Suggest moving these to the end. (RH)	The plan has been reorganized to better link principles, goals action items and metrics.
201		4. We'd like another chance to do detailed review after the repetition is removed. RH)	This will be routed to all for review before the PC takes action.
202		2. Specify how often the metrics will be measured and updated (RH)	done
203		1. Goals and actions need to be prioritized and answer: a. How do we proceed with what, and when? b. How do we acquire funds? i. Don't include cost estimates or too much technical analysis ii. Use the West Salt Lake Plan as a model for level of specificity (C)	It is up to the Council and Mayor to prioritize action items. Implementation section will include some guidance that considers the difficulty of the item, the resources needed, etc. so that decision makers can make sound decisions.
204		1. Consolidate inter-related metrics (JS)	Through the public process, we have narrowed down the metrics. Also, the metrics have been related to the Citywide metrics in Plan Salt Lake
205		1. Make Goals, Action Items and Metrics parallel a. Clarify the connections, consolidate (CQH)	The plan has been reorganized to better link principles, goals action items and metrics.
206		6. There are a number of places where new initiatives will be implemented. There are new zoning changes which would seem to indicate new regulations. New regulations usually mean longer approval times and increased costs. This is contrary to statements about more moderate and low income housing since these fees add more to the costs. Great examples are the recent impact fees for parks, proposed recycling ordinance and changes to the lighting fees all of which were considered by the City without careful research. After feedback from developers, the City has retreated in each instance although they are really just phasing it in over a 3+ year period. Couple this with the new regulation on vehicle charging stations (1 per 25 car stalls) and bike racks (1 per 10 stalls) and there is a sense of little regard for the additional costs and	The overall goal of the plan in terms of regulations is to identify the desired characteristics of development and then modify regulations to make desired development easier to realize, including a shorter and simpler process.
207		7. There is a lot of discussion about the preserving/renovating older buildings. When read in its entirety, there is a sense that the Plan will encourage an oversight group to "encourage" preservation instead of demo and rebuild. No mention of economics. (MaG)	The goals and action items are based on existing City policies outlined in the preservation plan, The specific regulations establish how preservation districts are established and the standards that apply, The plan does not create those districts, but does support property owners who want to create them.
208		8. An action item is to 'develop a design review process for projects of special significance (i.e. new or rehabilitated structures of 100,000 sf,'. District design guidelines also mentioned. (MaG)	This is in the plan because it is something that we have heard over and over from the public, boards and commissions and some elected officials.
209		9. Many places it states 'encourage development of pop-up/temporary (spontaneous) dining experiences'. In some places it mentions mobile catering trucks. No discussion on balancing this with impact of brick and mortar businesses. (MaG)	This is existing city policy that is being carried over.
210		10. There are a lot of instances where the Plan calls for additional bike lanes, restricting or eliminating right turns on red lights, 'creation of a connected network of streets and walkways that prioritizes pedestrians', adding mid-block streets and crossings and walkways, reinforcing the importance of landscaped medians, everything being walkable, etc. There is no caveat for analyzing impact on traffic flow and potential for additional gridlock. One thing metric is how downtown is perceived by visitors, etc., and these potential changes will effect that perception. (MaG)	The plan defers to other adopted plans for transportation and bicycle improvements. The transportation division will lead any transportation project or action item recommended by the plan and determine the pros/cons of changes.
211		11. A general number of statements on more green area, more rooftop gardens, more open space. All this comes with a price. (MaG)	Correct, The plan also identifies how to incentivize these types of things.

	A	B	C
1	Page # (new organization)	Comment	Response
	212	<p>1. What is the role of parks in the development of a walkable public space in Downtown? What is the range of facilities, spaces and programming that is needed?</p> <p>2. How can parks be an essential component of a fine grained environment that is beautiful, walk able and accessible?</p> <p>3. How do we determine the level-of-service needed in the downtown area and how do we know we have been successful?</p> <p>4. The built-out nature and high land values in the downtown area may pose challenges to find locations for active recreation and large informal spaces. Does this matter?</p> <p>5. Are downtown parks different from what is offered in other parts of the City? Does this matter?</p> <p>6. How do we fund the development of new parks and their maintenance? How much park space and what kind of space should we provide?</p> <p>7. What is the public versus private role in the development of parks (and associated maintenance costs) with the development of downtown housing?</p> <p>8. Do we allow or support operating businesses to operate in park spaces?</p> <p>9. Do linear parks offer the right kinds of programming options?</p> <p>10. How should we measure level of service (access and proximity)?</p> <p>11. What does the parks network look like in urban areas?</p> <p>12. What kinds of spaces are needed and who uses them?</p> <p>13. How are parks developed, funded and maintained in the urban context?</p>	<p>We think these things have been addressed. However, some require more work by respective City Depts. to determine the scope, need, etc. The role of the plan is to set the direction and then try to figure out the details of how to do it.</p>
	213	<p>2. Is there a way to make the plan more accessible to people who are only interested in very specific implementation (ie: urban forestry). How do all the diverse groups use the plan to get the information they need to move forward? (NM)</p>	<p>The delivering the plan section will include a simplified breakdown of action items. We will try to organize it in a way that is useful to different implementors.</p>
	214	<p>3. There are many action items in every district and many could be included in the downtown wide action item list. Can the action items be distilled to "keystone" actions that capture many other items? Can the action items be categorized so that departments can readily identify what they need to work on? (NM)</p>	<p>The plan was reformatted to address this.</p>
	215	<p>Can action items be organized by who will be responsible for it? (NM)</p>	<p>The implementation section of the chapter will be in a table format and include all of the action items without the accompanying text. We can assign responsibilities at that point, but most action items will involve a number of different agencies.</p>
	216	<p>If you need language for the page with the Mayor's Livability Agenda, the livability agenda is synonymous with the Sustainable Salt Lake Plan 2015, (DL)</p>	<p>That page was removed and retitled.</p>
	217	<p>if there are goals and metrics that come out of the DTMP that you would like us to track on the dashboard, we can identify those goals related to the DTMP in the appropriate sustainability heading and track them there.</p> <p>I'm happy to meet and discuss ideas with you on how to best coordinate various metrics, goals, actions etc between the DTMP and Sustainability. (DL)</p>	<p>This would be something that we would like to do after the plan is adopted.</p>
	218	<p>Where is SLC in adopting a noise regulation? Noise complaints coming in from residents in mixed use areas.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noise complaints from businesses regarding other licensed and permitted businesses. (KB) 	<p>The City has adopted the county regulations and does not plan on making any additional changes at this time.</p>
	219	<p>With the potential of more parks, how do we prevent areas from becoming like Pioneer Park to become unusable due to aggressive pan handling and drug dealers? (KB)</p>	<p>The plan recommends that a framework be established to address these types of issues and growing the downtown population improves the overall safety of public space is more people are using them.</p>
	220	<p>Positive improvement:</p> <p>Block by Block forthcoming</p> <p>2 Portland loos being installed currently with the potential of 3 more in the future. One will be on west side of Road Home. The second will be south of the Salt Palace, near the Hotel/Club Elevate. (KB)</p>	<p>No response needed.</p>
	221	<p>Im not seeing how we went from the existing conditions, to the draft and how catalytic projects were identified. On first glance it seems very prescriptive and it is not clear the narrative or intentions behind the recommendations. I know each plan is different and I may still have WSL and 9 line on the brain. (ES)</p>	<p>The beginning section adds more specifics about where we are (existing conditions) and where we want to be. The action items are geared toward getting the City to where it wants to be. Some are prescriptive, but most are written to be flexible in how we apply certain tools.</p>

	A	B	C
1	Page # (new organization)	Comment	Response
222		Im also losing a sense of scale and place. Are we applying the general metrics to the 90 blocks or we trying create unique nodes or places in the project area that may focus on meeting different metrics? Are there metrics that we want to see for in all areas of the downtown planning area? Also it seems like the districts that are listed are small and bleed into each other (how is Broadway District different from Salt Palace District when they are only a block away?) (ES)	The metrics are supposed to be used to gauge Downtown as a unit. The Monitoring section discusses that the City should break those metrics down to determine if there are specific items or geographies that are not meeting the desired direction.
223		I think we are being reductive on our conversation about diversity and need to think about our narrative and metrics. International restaurants and Native American and LGBTQ programming are not the base elements for diverse	We need further direction and discussion on this. The section needs to be changed to work in more equity and access to services and daily needs.
224		I think we have lost sight of addressing questions and tensions that arise from being a regional and international destination and a neighborhood. This was a key issues I wanted to addressed in depth from the beginning (ES)	The district sections discuss this. Not every place Downtown is regional/international destination, but everywhere should be some type of neighborhood.
225		I don't see where the city fits into making this plan a reality. Outside of street and infrastructure we play limited roles in district promotion, what types of cuisine are in restaurants that open up, how many bedrooms a developer designs into a housing development, etc (ES)	The action items relate mostly to aligning, improving and investigating City programs or potential city programs with the goal of filling the gaps or stimulating private markets.
226		The wording for the Downtown streetcar should better reference the Downtown in Motion Plan and utilize the same language (RW)	This change was made (pg 55)